

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,825	08/28/2003	Jack William Maegli	4039-0135P	6651
2292	7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			MAHAFKEY, KELLY J	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. * *	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/649,825	MAEGLI ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kelly Mahafkey	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4 and 6-11. Claim(s) withdraws from consideration:		II be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered rit or other evidence is necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Attached Sheet.</li> </ol>					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:					

Applicant's amendments filed July 11, 2006 have been entered.

Applicant's arguments filed July 11, 2006 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The rejections are maintained for the reasons of record, as set forth in the Final Office Action.

Regarding a water activity of below 0.50. As stated in the previous office action, Gamay teaches of a water activity only as low as 0.86, however, provides motivation, as well as means for further decreasing water activity. Column 3, lines 12-20, Gamay states that there is a need to include a low water activity in cheese products/compositions in order to satisfy safety requirements. Furthermore, Column 2 lines 53-58. Gamay teaches that common salts are able to lower water activity to desired levels.

Regarding 10-40% flavor, in example 6, Gamay teaches that the cheese composition contains about 10% flavoring (i.e. 7.64%). Furthermore, as stated in the previous office action, Gamay teaches, Column 6 lines 40-43, that one would have been motivated to include an amount of flavoring to suite ones' specific taste. Gamay teaches of about the lower range of flavoring as claimed by applicant, and provides motivation for modifying such a range.

Regarding 5-25% moisture, in example 2, Gamay teaches of the addition of about 12% water. Furthermore, as stated in the pervious office action one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the moisture content of the final product depending on the exact consistency desired in the final cheese product.

**KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER**